

✓ Present

App. F. IX-4

Previous References

1933-4

(1151)

File No. 198-33

Serial Nos. 112

Later References

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos.

Part B for April 1934.

SUBJECT.

tentative
at Tutha halli being unauthorisedly enjoyed
by Sri Anjaney & Swami tentole at Kuruvali

Purses over on the subject in

Go No 1151-4 of 24/2/34.

Serial
No.

1

Letter no 683/30-31 of 6.1.34
from the Muzrai Commissioner
stating that the Inam lands referred to therein
in Pankalapur village formerly endowed to Sri
Sitaramadevaram Temple in Puthahalli and
in the enjoyment of the Valuvabada of Sri
Anjanaswami Temple at Kuvvali, a
Non-Muzrai Institution while the Valuvabada
of Sri Sitaramadevaram Temple has only
a Malnad Cash grant of Rs 67/- for a sum
is being utilised for the maintenance of the
Temple and requesting sanction to the
Deputy Commissioner's proposal of c.
The Cash grant alone to Sri Sitarama
Devaram and Sri Sitaramadevaram at Puthahalli
and the land grants to Sri Anjanaswami
Devaram at Kuvvali.

The grant-ree register of the Putha-
halli Tk is sent up for reference. (See pages
84 & 85) of no. 183. The lands (Neb and
Garden) are meant for the benefit of
Sri Sitaramadevaram Temple in Putha-
halli Town. Worship is regularly done &
the building is in good condition & it is
noted that there are no reasons that the
Temple should be deprived of the land
originally endowd.

As the Kuvvali Anjanaswami
Temple is deserving of a grant,
it is open to the local authorities to find
suitable lands in the land to obtain a grant
Granting the lands to the temple.

768.

Muz File No.

of 193

General and Revenue
Secretariat

Serial
No.

Whether the Bespore Cankundobelp is a
Majer for consideration.

G.S. M.
12/11
16

2) There are two temples

1) Sri Simarandevam temple at
Tromahals and

2) Sri Anjaneyaswami temple at
Kurnavally.

The former is a Muzrai Institution endowed
with Nam lands in Pankalapur Village and a Malnad
Cash Grant of Rs 62/- per annum. The latter
is a non-Muzrai Institution enjoying the lands
endowed to the former leaving the Cash Grant
to be enjoyed by it (the former).

As regards the point - viz how the latter temple came
to be in the enjoyment of the former's lands
it is seen from the DC's letter of 25.10.30 to the
M.C. (Page 7) that one Anantakrishnaiah was
the permanent lessee of the lands at the time
of Nam settlement and the present Vahivattur
of the latter temple is no other than the grandson
of this self same Anantakrishnaiah. ~~And~~
The Anjaneya temple being alleged to have been
constructed by the forefathers of its present Vahivattur
(Vid. para 3 of the DC's order of 19.7.28 Page 2)
it would not be far wrong perhaps to infer
that the said Anantakrishnaiah who was
the permanent lessee of the lands of M ① temple
started appropriating the income for the
maintenance of temple M ② and his
descendants to have continued to do so.

in the hands of Sri Sitaran Devane at Tanakali
being unauthorisedly enjoyed by the Vahivattars
of a non-muz. Distⁿ

General and Revenue
Secretariat

File No.

of 193

[App. F. III-2

There is no
Sitaran
Devane in the
Temple 1 now
(Aug 4)

Serial
No

The position seems to be that temple m (1)
has been, by the act of Anantkrishna &
his descendants, deprived of its legitimate
income and temple m (2) a sonkrishna
institution is being benefited therefrom.
The DC also further states in para 3 of his
letter of 25.10.30 (P. 7) that even the
Anjaneya temple (m 2) is not being benefited
by the income of these lands which is being
enjoyed by the Vahivattars Venkata Rao
& Rame Rao who residing in B'lore. It is
further seen from the DC's letter of 30.11.33 (P. 1)
that these two people have not cared to
appear before the Amildar B'lore in spite of
warrants served on them

~~of the DC's communication~~

The MC recommends that the lands
which have been in the enjoyment of m (2)
temple for a long time may be registered
in its name. This is for orders.

Pl. Secy) 2nd dno)

19/1/34



28.1.34

30/1/34

1) may be sanctioned

13/2-

14/2-



NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 0434—GPB—15,000—12 10-32

Muz File No. 198

of 1933.

General and Revenue
Secretariat

Serial
No.

Go no 1151-4 of 24.2.1934 sanctioned
the recommendations of the Muzrai
Commissioner referred to in sl

B
5/3

M C. 13]

No.

683/30-31
Enc 1 File

768
11. JAN. 1934
OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER
MYSORE

Dated, Bangalore, 6.1.1934

FROM

N. Madhava Rau, Esq., F.A., P.L.,
~~S. HIRANNAPPA, Esq., M.A., B.L.,~~

Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

To

S. Shanmugaswami, Esq., P.A.,

Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore.

Financial Department.

A
8/1/34

SIR,

I have the honor to forward herewith copies of letters No. C.811/30-31 dated 25-10-30 and 30-11-33 from the Deputy Commissioner, Shimoga District, in the matter of the utilisation of the proceeds of the inam lands endowed to Sri Sitaramadevaru at Hiruvalli Town and to state that the facts of the case are fully explained in the Deputy Commissioner's letter dated 25-10-30 and his order No. C.619/27-28 dated 19-7-30.

The inam lands in Puklapur village formerly endowed to Sri Sitaramadevaru temple are in the enjoyment of the Vahivatdar of Sri Anjaneyaswami temple at Kuruvalli, a non-Muzrai institution, while the vahivatdar of Sri Sitaramadevaru temple receives only the Malnad cash grant which is being utilised for the maintenance of the temple. The Deputy Commissioner states that it is not clear how the inam lands of Sitaramadevaru came to be enjoyed by Sri Anjaneyadevaru temple, that it is best to leave things as they are and ratify the course of action which has existed now for many years and requests sanction to continue the cash grants allocated to Sri Sitaramadevaru and Shankaradevaru at Hiruvalli and the Malnad grants to Sri Anjaneyadevaru at Kuruvalli.

In the circumstances explained, the Deputy Commissioner requests sanction of Government for approval be accorded to the concurrent proposal of the present and the former Deputy Commissioner (Mr. P. L. Madhava Rau), and the Survey Settlement Officer is instructed to register the transactions in the name of

at Kuruvalli. (n receipt of orders as above, further action to cancel the lease granted by Mr. Venkata Rau and his brother and to lease them afresh, will be taken.

The connected records are herewith forwarded for favour of reference.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Ramair
Asst Commr
for Muzrai Commissioner.
(absent from station)
9-23

letter No.MUZ.C.611/30-31 dated 0-11-33 from the Deputy Commissioner, Shimoga District, to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

With reference to your letter No.C.683/30-31 dated 11/13th January 33 calling for my proposals about the future disposal of the cash grants sanctioned to the Sri Sitaramadevaru temple at Tirthahalli and also requesting intimate whether Mr. Venkata Rau and his brother are willing to surrender the lands in their possession which are endowed to the same temple, I have the honor to state that the Amildar, Tirthahalli Taluk, reports that the cash grant from the Koppa Taluk is credited to the temple funds from 31-32 and is not paid to anybody. It has since been transferred to the Tirthahalli Taluk permanently as per your order No.D2 Dis.388/32-33 dated 3/10th June 33.

It has already been ordered in this office ~~xxxx~~ No.MUZ.1.2.619/27-28 dated 18-7-1928 that in the circumstances it is best to leave things as they are and ratify the course of action which has existed now for many years. I request that the above order may be given effect to by continuing the cash grants to Sitaramadevaru and Shankaradevaru and the land grants to Anjaneyadevaru at Kuruvali as time has sanctified the procedure.

The Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, intimates in his Memo No. 33-N4.583 of 32-33 dated 12-11-33 that the parties (Mr. Venkata Rau and his brother) did not appear before the Amildar, Bangalore, and give their statements though notices were served on them.

The connected file is retransmitted herewith for reference and orders.

"COPY"

Remains
For Muzrai Commissioner.

WJS

in public auction. Against this order the Vahivatdar, Venkata Rau appealed to this office. On perusal of the connected records in the matter Mr. P. T. Keshava Iyengar, the then Deputy Commissioner, has ratified the enjoyment of the inam lands of Sri Sitaramadevaru by Anjaneyadevaru of Kuruvalli village on the ground that the institution of Sri Sitaramadevaru being extinct the inam lands are being enjoyed by Anjaneyadevaru for a long time past, the object of the Government grant being fulfilled by the worship of equally important and sacred deities. Put under the new Muzrai Regulation I think that this arrangement has to be approved of by Government. I therefore submit the entire records for your perusal and obtaining the sanction of Government about the continuance of the land of Sitaramadevaru to be enjoyed by Anjaneyadevaru.

7. It is further reported that the Archak of Anjaneyadevaru of Kuruvalli complained that the vahivatdars Venkata Rau and Rama Rau who are enjoying the income from the inam lands are not paying him anything for worship and other services in the temple. They have leased the lands to one Ramanna Gowda and Thimmanna Gowda for a period of 30 years from 1925 on a progressive rate of Geni raising from 9 maunds to 21 maunds of areca. It is stated that as he (vahivatdar Venkata Rau) in response to a notice served on him did not appear and his statement could not be recorded. Leases of Devadaya Inam land for 30 years contracted by Vahivatdars are to be considered as temporary ~~and~~ alienations. It is said that the income is not being utilised even for Anjaneyadevaru. Necessary action regarding the resumption of the alienated lands will be taken on the receipt of orders in the matter.

"COPY"

Ramanna
For Muzrai Commissioner

438

SUBJECT.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....768

General and Revenue Secretariat

()

the 193 .

Date of issue....24/12/ 1934

Signed by

Mr B. Muddukrishappa

May this be—

- (i) printed ?
- (ii) treated as a standing order ?
- (iii) published in the Gazette,
English part ?
- (iv) translated ?
- (v) placed at the disposal of the press ?
- (vi) published in the Gazette,
kannada part ?

Buklapur. A. S. R.
S.N. 18 garden 1-32 29-00
19 20-0-18 6-4-0
} Net 2-34
Total 5-4 41-00

Section 17a2

File No. 180-33

Serial No. 2

Proceedings of the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read—

Letter no 683/30-0

of 6. 1. 34 from the M.C.
It marginally noted
stating that the Inam land
in Buklapur village endowed to

temple of Sri Sitaramadevarane at Tirah.

have been in the enjoy
of the Valivandan of Sri

Order thereon. No Anjaneyas + ...

temple at Kuvalli,

non-muz. Inshⁿ, while

the Valivandan of Sri

Sitaramadevarane temple

receives only malnad

Cash grant for the maint-

of the Inshⁿ there

Now the Inam lands
of Sri Sitar and devane came
to be enjoyed by the lands
of Sri Anjaneya devane,
~~there in the opinion of the~~
~~D.C., it is best to leave~~
~~matters as they are & satisfy~~
~~the course of action that has~~
~~existed for many yrs and~~
and requesting, in light of the ^{circumstances} explained by the
D.C. Shriwastava, to sanction
sanction to the ~~proposed~~
~~proposal of no further~~
~~previous D.C. (Mr. B.T.)~~

~~Keshavraj~~ of continuing
continue
the cash grant alone to Sri

Chaitanya devane & Shankara devane
at Puthakalli & the land

Last of Enclosure

Grants to Sri Anjaneya devane
at Kuvalli, the survey

Suron being instructed to register
the Inam lands in the name

of Sri Anjaneya devane at ^{Copied by}
Kuvalli & necessary further ^{adding that, on receipt of order,}
action being taken to ^{Examine by} lease the lands afresh.
the lease of the same granted
by Mr. Venkataswami & his brother

G. R. S. G/33]

GENERAL AND REVENUE
SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation sheet draft form.)

from sons of the former lessee
from the Krishnappa & Co. to
them

1151-4. The M.C. has not
yet received the M.C.
The M.C. has not yet received the M.C.

of the M.C. are sanctioned.

For

For 71 see

To

The M.C. records

The Camp -

The R.C.

The Engrs. Rev Survey
& Settlement.

Copy to Rev. Secy

For
21/2